

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,188	09/11/2003	David E. Mayhew	6257-14502	5820	
35599 11/19/20099 MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. P.O. BOX 398			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FOUD, HICHAM B		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2467		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2009	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent\_docketing@intprop.com ptomhkkg@gmail.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/660,188 MAYHEW ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HICHAM B. FOUD 2467 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4 and 13-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4 and 13-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2467

#### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 08-14-2009 has been entered and considered.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 13, 15, 17-25 and 27-28 are pending in this application.

Claims 3, 5-12, 14, 16 and 26 are canceled.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 13, 15, 17-25 and 27-28 are rejected as discussed below.

### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 13, 15, 17-25 and 27-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the amended claims 4-5, 14-16 and 20-27 of U.S. Co-pending application 10/945,633. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Art Unit: 2467

Claim 14 of the instant application merely changes the scope of the claim 26 of the Co-pending application 10/945,633 by changing the step of receiving data packets, of claim 26 of the Co-pending application, to means for receiving a packet. Thus, it would have been obvious to the one skill in the art at the time of the invention to know that the claimed subject matter is the same regardless of the terminology used.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 13, 15, 17-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted (bolded) elements are:

The claimed switch is required to support path routing and only to forward the packet according to the path that is contained in the packet header (see [0018]), the received/transmitted packets are a path-routed packets (see [0026] line 1) and the selection of the output port depends also on the bit count which determines the backward or forward path and not only turn value (see [0019] and [0024]) and the output port is specified as "An output port number =

([input\_port\_number+turn\_value+1] modulo [N.sup.2+1]) (see [0020]). The above recited elements are essential and critical because the claimed switch routes the packets without the use of routing table and the destination address which are a must in routing regular packets (see the claims of co-pending10/945,633). Therefore, the

Art Unit: 2467

claimed switch cannot function as claimed unless it supports path routing and only to forward the packet according to the path that is contained in the packet header, the packets are a path-routed packets and the transmission of the path-routed packets depend also on the bit count and the output port is specified as "An output port number = ((input\_port\_number+turn\_value+1) modulo [N.sup.2+11).

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The double patenting rejection is maintained since the applicant failed to overcome the rejection.

In response to applicant's argument in regard of 112, 2<sup>nd</sup>, omission of steps, the examiner respectfully disagrees and disappointed because on the interview made on 07/28/2009 and wherein the applicant agreed on amending <u>ALL</u> the independent claims to comply with the 112 rejections. However, the amendment to the claims does not reflect that. Moreover, it is clear that the omitted steps and evidenced by the claims of the co-pending application (10/945,633) are: The claimed switch is required to support path routing and only to forward the packet according to the path that is contained in the packet header (see [0018]), the received/transmitted packets are a path-routed packets (see [0026] line 1) and the selection of the output port depends also on the bit count which determines the backward or forward path and not only turn value (see [0019] and [0024]) and the output port is specified as "An output port number = ([input\_port\_number+turn\_value+1] modulo [N.sup.2+1]) (see [0020]).

Art Unit: 2467

The above recited elements are essential and critical because the claimed switch routes the packets without the use of routing table and the destination address which are a must in routing regular packets (see the claims of co-pending10/945,633). Therefore, the claimed switch cannot function as claimed unless it supports path routing and only to forward the packet according to the path that is contained in the packet header, the packets are a path-routed packets and the transmission of the path-routed packets depend also on the bit count and the output port is specified as "An output port number = ([input\_port\_number+turn\_value+1] modulo [N.sup.2+1]).

Therefore, the rejection is maintained and all the independent claims must reflect the changes since all claim the same subject matter.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/660,188

Art Unit: 2467

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HICHAM B. FOUD whose telephone number is (571)270-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10-6 EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pankaj, Kumar can be reached on 571-272-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hicham B Foud/ Examiner, Art Unit 2467 11/11/2009

/Hong Cho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467